

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)					Inquiry From Director of Security, FEMA	
FROM: Chief. TSD/OS 202		EXTENSION		NO.		
				DATE 11 MAR 1983		
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS		
		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)		
1.	C/OPS/PTAS	11 MAR 1983	3/11 COK	<p>Our TSD resources are stretched so far now that I am against Volunteering Assistance other than guidance and/or suggestions.</p> <p>C/ops/PTAS 3-11-83</p> <p><u>GRGG</u></p> <p>WE HAVE A Resource Problem. We would gladly Brief AT THEIR Convenience But NO Manpower Commitment To FEMA.</p> <p>DO/PTAS 3/14</p>		
2.	DD IPTAS	11 MAR 1983	3/14 R			
3.	PPG Attn:					
4.	4 B 70 Hqs					
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

CONFIDENTIAL

11 MAR 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Policy and Plans Group

FROM:  Chief, Technical Security Division

SUBJECT: Inquiry From Director of Security, FEMA

REFERENCE: Memo for D/Sec, CIA from D/Sec, FEMA,  
dated 3 Mar 83, Same Subject

25X1

1. I have reviewed the memorandum from Mr. Kinney, Director of Security, FEMA, and am not sure what action is required. At this point in time, Technical Security Division resources are stretched to the limit. We have used Independent Contractors to assist us in meeting our domestic requirements. As you know, our Independent Contractors are Agency annuitants and are, therefore, limited in the amount of money they can make in any one year. We continue to have more requirements than these Independent Contractors can handle within that caveat. If we provide their services to FEMA, no matter who reimburses them, we will have to cut back on using them by an equivalent amount.

2. There are many other parameters to be considered here and we really do not have enough information to pick the best solution to their problem. We are not sure how many total sites FEMA has that will require inspections, what kind of budget they are proposing and whether or not they will need a full time technical security staff.

3. I would suggest we set up a meeting with Mr. Kinney, either here or there, to discuss the whole issue. With that information in hand, we may be able to be of assistance in either recommending a course of action or in assisting by using some of our limited resources for an occasional Audio Countermeasures inspection.

25X1

25X1  
25X1

**PRIORITY****ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET**

Form No. 160 Use Previous Editions

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Inquiry From Director of Security, FEMA

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group/OS  
4E-70, Hdqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

STAT

DATE

9 March 1983

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. C/OS/PTAS  
20210 MAR  
1983*connotation with  
ISSG + TSD*

The Director of Security has been briefed on the broad context of the attached and is inclined to afford FEMA assistance through ISSG and TSD, whenever we can.

STAT

2.

3.

4.

*By deadline &  
Recommendations*

Please review the attached in terms of responding to paragraphs 3 and 4. You may consider use of Messrs. *also*

STAT

5.

*As necessary*

*also* in this connection note regional centers are involved.

STAT

6.

*C/TSD - action 3/11/83*

In view of the policy to respond quickly to the type of request involved, please forward comments by 16 March.

7.

*C/ISSG - action*

8.

*C/PhysD - action*

9.

10. C/OS/PTAS

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

*6,7,8 - pls  
Review - C/TSD  
& C/ISSG have  
specific questions  
to address, C/PhysD  
May want to  
comment. If  
you send up input -  
I will combine for  
PPL. pl - HARD*



# Federal Emergency Management Agency

Washington, D.C. 20472

MAR 03 1983

Director  
Office of Security

STAT

Director of Security  
Central Intelligence Agency

Dear Bill:

As I become more involved in efforts to enhance security programs here at FEMA, I frequently recall your observations about ~~short average experience time of staffs~~. I have a slightly different problem. My staff is very small, long on narrow aspects of security and short on scope. I have no expertise in many of the security disciplines, one of which is physical/technical.

You recall my mentioning that FEMA was seeking to build facilities to NFIB/NFIC-9.1/47 SCIF standards at headquarters and in our ten regional centers. One element of the SCIF standards calls for intermittent ACM inspections. Another calls for compliance with DCID 1/16 for computer security.

Recognizing that ACM resources are tight around the community and in view of the fact that I have not yet been able to secure an in-house capability, I am considering the possibility of contracting for this service. Two questions: First, would you accept the results of contracted ACM inspections of SCIFs? Second, are there any standards to which such inspections must be done and any guidance I could have as to what to do in the event a technical penetration is located?

In connection with computer security, would you be able to provide me with a few copies of DCID 1/16 and any subsequent guidance you may have issued in this most important and rapidly growing field.

Again, I enjoyed meeting you and may I offer the full hospitality of FEMA if you find opportunity to accept my invitation to visit.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Arlan", is written over the typed name.

Arlan Kinney

05 3 0573

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

DC/Physical Security Division

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE 11 March 1983

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. C/PSD

12 MAR 1983

12 MAR 1983

AB

PSD has nothing to contribute unless you would like to suggest a reference in the response to Mr. Kinney, that FEMA facilities storing Agency classified material are eligible for Domestic Security Branch security surveys and that should satisfy the physical security aspects of his concern.

2.

3. C/OPS/PTAS

14 MAR 1983

3/14

AB

4.

5. C/PPG

4E70 Ha.

15 MAR 1983

3/15

D

3-105!

Again - PTAS  
 Reasons are  
 stressed and we  
 need to provide  
 security support to  
 CIA as a primary  
 priority. 2/10/1983

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

**PRIORITY****ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET**Form No. 160  
Dec 56

Use Previous Editions

(13)

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Inquiry From Director of Security, FEMA

FRC

EXTENSION

NO.

Policy and Plans Group/OS  
4E-70, Hdqs.

DATE

9 March 1983

STAT  
STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. C/ODs/PTAS  
20210 MAR  
1983*for action with  
ISSG & TSD*

2.

3.

4.

*By [unclear] &  
Recommendations*

5.

*As necessary*

6.

*C/TSD - action*

7.

*C/ISSG - action*

8.

*D C/PhysD - action*

9.

10.

*C/ODs/PTAS*

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

The Director of Security has been briefed on the broad context of the attached and is inclined to afford FEMA assistance through ISSG and TSD, whenever we can.

STAT

Please review the attached in terms of responding to paragraphs 3 and 4. You may consider use of Messrs. [unclear] in this connection note regional centers are involved.

STAT  
STAT

In view of the policy to respond quickly to the type of request involved, please forward comments by 16 March.

*6, 7, 8 - pls  
Review - C/TSD  
& C/ISSG have  
specific questions  
to address, C/PhysD  
may want to  
comment. If  
you pending input.  
I will combine for  
DPL. pl - [unclear]*



# Federal Emergency Management Agency

Washington, D.C. 20472

MAR 03 1983

Director  
Office of Security

STAT

Director of Security  
Central Intelligence Agency

Dear Bill:

As I become more involved in efforts to enhance security programs here at FEMA, I frequently recall your observations about ~~short average experience time of staffs~~. I have a slightly different problem. My staff is very small, long on narrow aspects of security and short on scope. I have no expertise in many of the security disciplines, one of which is physical/technical.

You recall my mentioning that FEMA was seeking to build facilities to NFIB/NFIC-9.1/47 SCIF standards at headquarters and in our ten regional centers. One element of the SCIF standards calls for intermittent ACM inspections. Another calls for compliance with DCID 1/16 for computer security.

Recognizing that ACM resources are tight around the community and in view of the fact that I have not yet been able to secure an in-house capability, I am considering the possibility of contracting for this service. Two questions: First, would you accept the results of contracted ACM inspections of SCIFs? Second, are there any standards to which such inspections must be done and any guidance I could have as to what to do in the event a technical penetration is located?

In connection with computer security, would you be able to provide me with a few copies of DCID 1/16 and any subsequent guidance you may have issued in this most important and rapidly growing field.

Again, I enjoyed meeting you and may I offer the full hospitality of FEMA if you find opportunity to accept my invitation to visit.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Arlan", is written over a horizontal line.

Arlan Kinney

OS 3 0573